UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,420	07/24/2003	Sheueling Chang Shantz	6000-32301	9856

58467   7590   01/06/2011
MHKKG/Oracle (Sun)
P.O. BOX 398
AUSTIN, TX 78767

EXAMINER
JOHNSON, CARLTON

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2436	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
01/06/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent_docketing@intprop.com
ptomhkkg@gmail.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/626,420	SHANTZ ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CARLTON V. JOHNSON	2436	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 October 2010</u>.

2a)☒ This action is **FINAL**.   2b)☐ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are pending in the application.

　　4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

　　Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

　　Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

　　a)☐ All   b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

　　　1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

　　　2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

　　　3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

　* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1)☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2)☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3)☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
　　Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4)☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
　　Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5)☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application

6)☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1.   This action is in response to application amendments filed on 10-21-2010.

2.   Claims **1 - 65** are pending.   Claims **1, 5, 7, 10, 16, 18, 23, 25, 27, 38, 43, 44, 50,**

51, 57 - 65 have been amended. Claims **1, 18, 43, 50, 57, 61, 64, 65** are independent.

This application was filed on 7-24-2003.

Response to Arguments

3.   Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they were not persuasive.

3.1   The 101 Rejection for Claims 57 - 63 is withdrawn due to the addition of the term

non-transitory to computer-readable storage medium.

3.2   Applicant argues, *instruction set architecture; chaining of operations into a single*

arithmetic instructions

    MAP elements also have the ability to be chained via hardware (chain port).   The

implementation of the chaining of operations is part of the architecture of the prior art

computing system such as the usage of command bits embedded in the address field

on the bus. The architecture of the computing system enables the implementation of

the chaining of operations by the integration of addressing with the implementation of

the chaining of operations.   These types of operations are supported by the hardware.

(Huppenthal col. 3, lines 1-7: number of MAP elements chained together to accomplish

a single function or operation (implies a single arithmetic instruction; col. 3, lines 18-25:

receive operands via chained port; col. 18, line 66 - col. 19, line 3: output data from one

MAP element to be sent directly to the user array of the next MAP element with no

processor intervention via a chain port) and (Hines col. 3, lines 5-17: applying a

multiply-accumulate operation to operands; multiplying operands and adding

multiplication results; col. 8, lines col. 8, lines 6-25: chained multiply-accumulate

operation; carry save adders and usage of partial multiplier (partial results: high order

bits); output of results of partial multiplier is normalized and passed to carry save adders

and final product adder)

In any event, the implementation enables the capability for the chaining of multiple

operations into a single executed instruction. The chaining of operations into one

executable instruction is equivalent to the claimed invention.

The add-chaining operation and multiply-chaining operation disclose a combination

(chaining) of accumulate and multiplication operations into a single arithmetic

instruction. The Specification in paragraph [1076] states that the instruction performs

multiply-accumulate-chaining operation, which combines (appears to be equivalent to

prior art chaining) add-chaining and multiply-chaining in one operation in order to avoid

the multiplier latency from the processing of operand(s) information between arithmetic

operations. This statement appears to suggest the combination or chaining of two or

more arithmetic operations with an implicit input or transfer of operand(s) between

arithmetic instructions.

The referenced prior art appears to disclose an equivalent set of functions as the

claimed invention. The Examiner is not mischaracterizing the teachings of Applicant's

Specification. The concept of combining or chaining arithmetic operations is disclosed

in the specification and it is also disclosed in the referenced prior art. The concept of

the implicit transfer of operands between operations (instructions) is disclosed in the

specification and is also disclosed in the referenced prior art. The concept of utilizing

arithmetic operations in the generation of an encryption key for cryptographic

calculations are disclosed in the specification and are also disclosed in the referenced

prior art.

Specification:
>   In operation, when a processor 108 is halted by the operating system, the operating
>   system will issue a last operand command to the MAP element 112 through the use of
>   **command bits embedded in the address field on bus 128**. This command is
>   recognized by the command decoder 150 of the control block 132 and it initiates a
>   hardware pipeline counter 158. When the algorithm was initially loaded into the FPGA
>   134, several output bits connected to the control block 132 were configured to display a
>   binary representation of the number of clock cycles required to get through its pipeline
>   (i.e. pipeline "depth") on bus 136 input to the equality comparitor 160. After receiving the
>   last operand command, the pipeline counter 158 in the control block 132 counts clock
>   cycles until its count equals the pipeline depth for that particular, algorithm. At that point,
>   the equality comparitor 160 in the control block 132 de-asserts a busy bit on line 164 in
>   an internal group of status registers 152. After issuing the last operand signal, the
>   processor 108 will repeatedly read the status registers 152 and accept any output data
>   on bus 166. When the busy flag is de-asserted, the task can be stopped and the MAP
>   element 112 utilized for a different task. It should be noted that it is also possible to leave
>   the MAP element 112 configured, transfer the program to a different processor 108 and
>   restart the task where it left off.

3.3   Applicant argues, *add chaining; multiply-chaining*

The concept of the claimed invention (the specification discloses chaining of

operations) appears to the Examiner to combine a set of arithmetic operations

(accumulate and multiplication arithmetic operations) into a single arithmetic instruction

or invocation. An arithmetic operation generates a resultant operand which is

transferred between two (successive) arithmetic operations. The transfer of this

generated operand is the feedback mechanism indicated by the claimed invention. The resultant or feedback operand is implicitly (without an explicit operation) transferred to the next arithmetic operation or instruction in the sequence. Applicant's invention discloses that the resultant operand can be a partial result (high-order or low-order bits) from a resultant word.

Huppenthal discloses an architecture for chaining a number of arithmetic operations-(such as multiplication, accumulation, and etc) to form a single arithmetic instruction. The single arithmetic instruction is initiated and the sequence of chained operations is performed with operand transfer controlled by the computing system architecture via the usage of a chain port mechanism. The chain port mechanism supplies operands to each successive arithmetic operation in the sequence. The chain port mechanism supplies operands without any support from the processor. Hinds discloses the generation of a partial result (high-order or low-order bits). Huppenthal and Hinds disclose chaining a set of two or more arithmetic operations within a single arithmetic instruction and the generation of a partial result as the operand that is implicitly transferred between the arithmetic instructions.

3.4   Applicant argues *specific chaining operations*.

Huppenthal discloses an architecture for chaining a number of arithmetic operations-(such as multiplication, accumulation, and etc) to form a single arithmetic instruction. The single arithmetic instruction is initiated and the sequence of chained operations is performed with operand transfer controlled by the computing system architecture via the usage of a chain port mechanism. The Applicant argues that a

specific multiple-accumulate set of operations are performed. Huppenthal discloses

that any specific combination of arithmetic operations can be implemented utilizing

operation chaining from the prior art invention.

3.5   Applicant argues *implicit operand transfer; add partial result.*

Huppenthal discloses an architecture for chaining a number of arithmetic

operations (such as multiplication, accumulation, and etc) to form a single arithmetic

instruction. The single arithmetic instruction is initiated and the sequence of chained

operations is performed with operand transfer (transparently) controlled by the

computing system architecture via the usage of a chain port mechanism. The chain

port mechanism supplies operands to each successive arithmetic operation in the

sequence. The chain port mechanism supplies operands without any support from the

processor. Hinds discloses the generation of a partial result (high-order or low-order

bits). Huppenthal and Hinds disclose chaining a set of two or more arithmetic

operations within a single arithmetic instruction and the generation of a partial result as

the operand that is implicitly transferred between the arithmetic instructions.

3.6   Applicant argues *the obviousness rejection.*

A 103 rejection based on multiple references is a legitimate technique according to

the MPEP. The current application is rejected based on the Huppenthal, Hinds and

Chen prior art references. The set of references are in a same field of endeavor as the

claimed invention, computing system instruction set processing. The 103 rejection

allows portions of a claimed invention to come from different prior art references.

The prior art references are within the same field of high performance computations

which can be used for cryptographic operations such as encryption key generation.

A cited passage from the referenced prior art clearly indicates the motivation for the

obviousness combination. The motivation for the combination of Huppenthal and Hinds

is to greatly improve the performance of high performance circuits (computing systems)

such as circuits used for cryptographic operations. Huppenthal disclose the capability

of processing data within a high performance computing system. This advantage that

Huppenthal achieves from Hinds is the motivation for the combination.

3.7   Applicant argues, *Independent Claims 43, 57, 64 and 18.*

   Independent claims 18, 43, 57, 64 have similar limitations as independent claim 1.

Reponses to arguments for independent claim 1 respond to arguments against

independent claims 43, 57, 64 and 18.

3.8   Applicant argues, *Dependent Claims 50, 61, 65.*

   Responses to arguments against independent claims answer arguments against

associated dependent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4.   The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

> The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of
> making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the
> art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall
> set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5.   Claims **1, 7, 10, 16, 18, 23, 25, 27, 38, 43, 44, 50, 51, 57, 61, 64, 65** are rejected

under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description

requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the

specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that

the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed

invention. There does not appear to be disclosure for the term, *instruction set*

architecture, in the specification or original claims. The term *instruction set* is only

mentioned in the specification two times on pages 8 and 16. These two references

(instruction set, pages 8 and 16) do not mention an instruction set architecture for a

computing system nor link the term instruction set (architecture) to the concept of

operation chaining as disclosed in the specification. The specification does mention

adding the capability of chaining operations to a computing system as a requirement for

accelerating public-key computations.   Applicant has stressed that the prior art

references are not based in an instruction set architecture but the specification does not

disclose an instruction set architecture for the chaining of arithmetic operations into a

single arithmetic instruction with implicit operand transfer.

          Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6.   The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in
> section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art

are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims **1, 2, 4, 5, 13 - 16, 18, 19, 21 - 23, 30, 33 - 44, 49 - 51, 56 - 65** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over **Huppenthal et al.** (US Patent No. **6,339,819**) in view of **Hinds et al.** (US Patent No. **6,542,916**) and further in view of **Chen et al.** (US Patent No. **6,763,365**).

With Regards to Claim 1, Huppenthal discloses a method implemented in a device, the method comprising: in response to executing a single arithmetic instruction of a processor instruction set architecture implemented in a processor of the device, and storing at least a portion of the generated result; and using the stored at least a portion of the generated result in a subsequent computation in the cryptography application, and wherein the single arithmetic instruction does not include an explicit source operand for specifying the partial result. (Huppenthal col. 3, lines 1-7: number of MAP elements chained together to accomplish a single function or operation (implies a single arithmetic instruction; col. 3, lines 18-25: receive operands via chained port; col. 18, line 66 - col. 19, line 3: output data from one MAP element to be sent directly to the user array of the next MAP element with no processor intervention via a chain port),

Huppenthal does not specifically disclose a multiplying and summing sequence. However, Hinds discloses multiplying a first number by a second number; and adding implicitly a partial result from an executed arithmetic instruction set architecture to generate a result that represents the first number multiplied by the second number

summed with the partial result, wherein the partial result comprises a high order portion
of a result of the previously executed single arithmetic instruction. (Hines col. 3, lines 5-
17: applying a multiply-accumulate operation to operands; multiplying operands and
adding multiplication results; col. 8, lines col. 8, lines 6-25: chained multiply-accumulate
operation; carry save adders and usage of partial multiplier (partial results: high order
bits); output of results of partial multiplier is normalized and passed to carry save adders
and final product adder)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Huppenthal
for arithmetic operations such as a multiplying and summing sequence as taught by
Hinds. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the
teachings of Hinds to specifically increase the speed of multiply-accumulate operations
and achieve faster computations. (Hinds col. 1, lines 27-29)

Huppenthal-Hinds does not specifically disclose supporting a cryptographic application.
However, Chen discloses supporting a cryptography application.   (see Chen col. 6,
lines 23-25: arithmetic operations to support acceleration of cryptographic functions),

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Huppenthal-
Hinds for supporting a cryptographic application as taught by Chen.   One of ordinary
skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Chen to greatly
improve the performance of cryptographic circuits. (Chen col. 5, lines 40-42)

With Regards to Claim 2, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 1.
Huppenthal does not specifically disclose a partial result. However, Hinds discloses

performing the adding of the partial result as part of addition operations performed for

the multiplying of the first and second number. (Hines col. 3, lines 5-17: applying a

multiply-accumulate operation to operands; multiplying operands and adding

multiplication results; col. 8, lines col. 8, lines 6-25: chained multiply-accumulate

operation; carry save adders and usage of partial multiplier (partial results: high order

bits); output of results of partial multiplier is normalized and passed to carry save adders

and final product adder)

Motivation for Hinds to disclose arithmetic operations (a partial result) is as stated in

Claim 1 above.

With Regards to Claim 4, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 1.

Huppenthal does not specifically disclose a partial result. However, Hinds discloses

wherein said adding the partial result comprises adding the partial result to a

multiplication result of the first and second numbers. (Hines col. 3, lines 5-17: applying

a multiply-accumulate operation to operands; multiplying operands and adding

multiplication results; col. 8, lines col. 8, lines 6-25: chained multiply-accumulate

operation; carry save adders and usage of partial multiplier (partial results: high order

bits); output of results of partial multiplier is normalized and passed to carry save adders

and final product adder)

Motivation for Hinds to disclose arithmetic operations (a partial result) is as stated in

Claim 1 above.

With Regards to Claim 5, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 1.

Huppenthal does not specifically disclose a multiply accumulate instruction. However,

Hinds discloses wherein said storing at least a portion of the generated result comprises

storing a high order portion of the generated result as a next partial result for use with

execution of a subsequent single arithmetic instruction of the processor instruction set

architecture. (Hines col. 3, lines 5-17: applying a multiply-accumulate operation to

operands; multiplying operands and adding multiplication results; col. 8, lines col. 8,

lines 6-25: chained multiply-accumulate operation; carry save adders and usage of

partial multiplier (partial results: high order bits); output of results of partial multiplier is

normalized and passed to carry save adders and final product adder)

Motivation for Hinds to disclose arithmetic operations (a multiply accumulate instruction)

is as stated in Claim 1 above.

With Regards to Claim 13, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 1.

Huppenthal does not specifically disclose a multiply accumulate instruction. However,

Hinds discloses wherein the single arithmetic instruction is a single multiply-accumulate

instruction; wherein the first and second numbers are specified in the single multiply-

accumulate instruction as first and second source registers and a low order portion of

the result is stored in a destination location specified in the single multiply-accumulate

instruction. (Hines col. 3, lines 5-17: applying a multiply-accumulate operation to

operands; multiplying operands and adding multiplication results; col. 8, lines col. 8,

lines 6-25: chained multiply-accumulate operation; carry save adders and usage of

partial multiplier (partial results: high order bits); output of results of partial multiplier is

normalized and passed to carry save adders and final product adder)

Motivation for Hinds to disclose arithmetic operations (a multiply accumulate instruction)

is as stated in Claim 1 above.

With Regards to Claim 14, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 5.

Huppenthal does not specifically disclose an n bit results. However, Hinds discloses

wherein the first and second numbers are n-bit numbers, n being a positive integer and

wherein the high order portion of the generated result is an n-bit portion. (Hines col. 3,

lines 5-17: applying a multiply-accumulate operation to operands; multiplying operands

and adding multiplication results; col. 8, lines col. 8, lines 6-25: chained multiply-

accumulate operation; carry save adders and usage of partial multiplier (partial results:

high order bits); output of results of partial multiplier is normalized and passed to carry

save adders and final product adder)

Motivation for Hinds to disclose arithmetic operations (an n bit result) is as stated in

Claim 1 above.

With Regards to Claim 15, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 5.

Huppenthal does not specifically disclose a multiply accumulate instruction. However,

Hinds discloses further comprising: in response to executing the subsequent single

arithmetic instruction, multiplying third and fourth numbers specified by the subsequent

single arithmetic instruction and adding implicitly the next partial result to generate a

second result that represents the third number multiplied by the fourth number summed

with the next partial result. (Hines col. 3, lines 5-17: applying a multiply-accumulate

operation to operands; multiplying operands and adding multiplication results; col. 8,

lines col. 8, lines 6-25: chained multiply-accumulate operation; carry save adders and

usage of partial multiplier (partial results: high order bits); output of results of partial

multiplier is normalized and passed to carry save adders and final product adder)

Motivation for Hinds to disclose arithmetic operations (a multiply accumulate instruction)

is as stated in Claim 1 above.

With Regards to Claim 16, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 15.

Huppenthal does not specifically disclose a partial result. However, Hinds discloses

further comprising storing the high order portion of the second result to be implicitly

added in response to executing another subsequent single arithmetic instruction of the

processor instruction set architecture. (Hines col. 3, lines 5-17: applying a multiply-

accumulate operation to operands; multiplying operands and adding multiplication

results; col. 8, lines col. 8, lines 6-25: chained multiply-accumulate operation; carry save

adders and usage of partial multiplier (partial results: high order bits); output of results of

partial multiplier is normalized and passed to carry save adders and final product adder)

Motivation for Hinds to disclose arithmetic operations (a partial result) is as stated in

Claim 1 above.

With Regards to Claim 18, Huppenthal discloses a method implemented in a device

supporting a cryptography application, the method comprising: in response to executing

a single arithmetic instruction of a processor instruction set architecture implemented in

a processor of the device, and storing at least a portion of the generated result; and

using the stored at least a portion of the generated result in a subsequent computation

in the cryptography application, and wherein the single arithmetic instruction does not

include an explicit source operand for specifying the partial result. (Huppenthal col. 3,

lines 1-7: number of MAP elements chained together to accomplish a single function or

operation (implies a single arithmetic instruction; col. 3, lines 18-25: MAP elements can

receive operands via chained port; col. 18, line 66 - col. 19, line 3: output data from one

MAP element to be sent directly to the user array of the next MAP element with no

processor intervention via a chain port)

Huppenthal does not specifically disclose a multiplying and summing sequence.

However, Hinds discloses multiplying a first number by a second number; adding

implicitly a partial result from an executed single arithmetic instruction, wherein the

partial result comprises a high order portion of a result of the previously executed single

arithmetic instruction; adding a third number to generate a result that represents the first

number multiplied by the second number summed with the partial result and the third

number. (Hines col. 3, lines 5-17: applying a multiply-accumulate operation to

operands; multiplying operands and adding multiplication results; col. 8, lines col. 8,

lines 6-25: chained multiply-accumulate operation; carry save adders and usage of

partial multiplier (partial results: high order bits); output of results of partial multiplier is

normalized and passed to carry save adders and final product adder)

Motivation for Hinds to disclose arithmetic operations (a multiplying and summing

sequence) is as stated in Claim 1 above.

Chen discloses supporting a cryptographic application as stated in Claim 1 above.

With Regards to Claim 19, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 18.

Huppenthal does not specifically disclose a multiplying and summing sequence.

However, Hinds discloses performing the adding of the partial result as part of addition

performed for the multiplying of the first and second number. (Hines col. 3, lines 5-17:

applying a multiply-accumulate operation to operands; multiplying operands and adding

multiplication results; col. 8, lines col. 8, lines 6-25: chained multiply-accumulate

operation; carry save adders and usage of partial multiplier (partial results: high order

bits); output of results of partial multiplier is normalized and passed to carry save adders

and final product adder)

Motivation for Hinds to disclose arithmetic operations is as stated in Claim 1 above.

With Regards to Claim 21, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 18.

Huppenthal does not specifically disclose a multiplying and summing sequence.

However, Hinds discloses performing the adding of the third number as part of the

addition performed for the multiplying of the first and second number. (Hines col. 3,

lines 5-17: applying a multiply-accumulate operation to operands; multiplying operands

and adding multiplication results; col. 8, lines col. 8, lines 6-25: chained multiply-

accumulate operation; carry save adders and usage of partial multiplier (partial results:

high order bits); output of results of partial multiplier is normalized and passed to carry

save adders and final product adder)

Motivation for Hinds to disclose arithmetic operations is as stated in Claim 1 above.

With Regards to Claim 22, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 18.

Huppenthal does not specifically disclose a multiplying and summing sequence.

However, Hinds discloses adding the partial result comprises adding the partial result

after generation of a multiplication result of multiplying the first and second numbers.

(Hines col. 3, lines 5-17: applying a multiply-accumulate operation to operands;

multiplying operands and adding multiplication results; col. 8, lines col. 8, lines 6-25:

chained multiply-accumulate operation; carry save adders and usage of partial multiplier

(partial results: high order bits); output of results of partial multiplier is normalized and

passed to carry save adders and final product adder)

Motivation for Hinds to disclose arithmetic operations is as stated in Claim 1 above.

With Regards to Claim 23, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 18.

Huppenthal does not specifically disclose a multiplying and summing sequence.

However, Hinds discloses storing at least a portion of the generated result comprises

storing a high order portion of the generated result as a next partial multiplication result

for use with execution of a subsequent single arithmetic instruction of the processor

instruction set architecture. (Hines col. 3, lines 5-17: applying a multiply-accumulate

operation to operands; multiplying operands and adding multiplication results; col. 8,

lines col. 8, lines 6-25: chained multiply-accumulate operation; carry save adders and

usage of partial multiplier (partial results: high order bits); output of results of partial

multiplier is normalized and passed to carry save adders and final product adder)

Motivation for Hinds to disclose arithmetic operations is as stated in Claim 1 above.

With Regards to Claim 30, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 24

wherein the second number is implicitly identified in the single arithmetic instruction.

(Huppenthal col. 3, lines 1-7: number of MAP elements chained together to accomplish

a single function or operation (implies a single arithmetic instruction; col. 3, lines 18-25:

MAP elements can receive operands via chained port; col. 18, line 66 - col. 19, line 3:

output data from one MAP element to be sent directly to the user array of the next MAP

element with no processor intervention via a chain port)

With Regards to Claim 33, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 18

wherein the first and third numbers are specified in the single arithmetic instruction as

first and second source registers and a low order portion of the generated result is

stored in a destination location specified in the single arithmetic instruction.

(Huppenthal col. 3, lines 1-7: number of MAP elements chained together to accomplish

a single function or operation (implies a single arithmetic instruction; col. 3, lines 18-25:

MAP elements can receive operands via chained port; col. 18, line 66 - col. 19, line 3:

output data from one MAP element to be sent directly to the user array of the next MAP

element with no processor intervention via a chain port)

With Regards to Claim 34, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 33

wherein the partial result from an executed single arithmetic instruction is implicitly

specified by the single arithmetic instruction and wherein the second number is explicitly

specified by a third source register in the single arithmetic instruction. (Huppenthal col.

3, lines 1-7: number of MAP elements chained together to accomplish a single function

or operation (implies a single arithmetic instruction; col. 3, lines 18-25: MAP elements

can receive operands via chained port; col. 18, line 66 - col. 19, line 3: output data from

one MAP element to be sent directly to the user array of the next MAP element with no

processor intervention via a chain port)

With Regards to Claim 35, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 33

wherein the partial result from an executed single arithmetic instruction is implicitly

specified by the single arithmetic instruction and the second number is implicitly

specified by the single arithmetic instruction. (Huppenthal col. 3, lines 1-7: number of

MAP elements chained together to accomplish a single function or operation (implies a

single arithmetic instruction; col. 3, lines 18-25: MAP elements can receive operands via

chained port; col. 18, line 66 - col. 19, line 3: output data from one MAP element to be

sent directly to the user array of the next MAP element with no processor intervention

via a chain port)

With Regards to Claim 36, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 23

further comprising: in response to executing the subsequent single arithmetic

instruction. (Huppenthal col. 3, lines 1-7: number of MAP elements chained together to

accomplish a single function or operation (implies a single arithmetic instruction; col. 3,

lines 18-25: MAP elements can receive operands via chained port; col. 18, line 66 - col.

19, line 3: output data from one MAP element to be sent directly to the user array of the

next MAP element with no processor intervention via a chain port)

Huppenthal does not specifically disclose a multiplying and summing sequence.

However, Hinds discloses multiplying a fourth number and a fifth number, the fourth

number being specified by the subsequent single arithmetic instruction, adding implicitly

the next partial multiplication result and adding a sixth number to generate a second

result, the second result representing the fourth number multiplied by the fifth number

summed with the next partial result and the sixth number.   (Hines col. 3, lines 5-17:

applying a multiply-accumulate operation to operands; multiplying operands and adding

multiplication results; col. 8, lines col. 8, lines 6-25: chained multiply-accumulate

operation; carry save adders and usage of partial multiplier (partial results: high order

bits); output of results of partial multiplier is normalized and passed to carry save adders

and final product adder)

Motivation for Hinds to disclose arithmetic operations is as stated in Claim 1 above.

With Regards to Claim 37, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 36

wherein the fifth number and the second number are equal.   (Huppenthal col. 3, lines 1-

7: number of MAP elements chained together to accomplish a single function or

operation (implies a single arithmetic instruction; col. 3, lines 18-25: MAP elements can

receive operands via chained port; col. 18, line 66 - col. 19, line 3: output data from one

MAP element to be sent directly to the user array of the next MAP element with no

processor intervention via a chain port; operands can be any values)

With Regards to Claim 38, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 36.

Huppenthal does not specifically disclose a multiplying and summing sequence.

However, Hinds discloses storing a high order portion of the second result to be

implicitly added in response to executing another subsequent single arithmetic

instruction of the processor instruction set architecture. (Hines col. 3, lines 5-17:

applying a multiply-accumulate operation to operands; multiplying operands and adding

multiplication results; col. 8, lines col. 8, lines 6-25: chained multiply-accumulate

operation; carry save adders and usage of partial multiplier (partial results: high order

bits); output of results of partial multiplier is normalized and passed to carry save adders

and final product adder)

Motivation for Hinds to disclose arithmetic operations is as stated in Claim 1 above.

With Regards to Claim 39, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 18.

wherein the first number is specified in the single arithmetic instruction in a first source

register, the second number is contained in a special register and is not specified in the

single arithmetic instruction, the third number is specified as a second source register in

the single arithmetic instruction and a low order portion of the generated result is stored

in a destination location specified in the single arithmetic instruction. (Huppenthal col. 3,

lines 1-7: number of MAP elements chained together to accomplish a single function or

operation (implies a single arithmetic instruction; col. 3, lines 18-25: MAP elements can

receive operands via chained port; col. 18, line 66 - col. 19, line 3: output data from one

MAP element to be sent directly to the user array of the next MAP element with no

processor intervention via a chain port)

With Regards to Claim 40, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 18

wherein the first, second, and third numbers are specified by source operands in the

single arithmetic instruction. (Huppenthal col. 3, lines 1-7: number of MAP elements

chained together to accomplish a single function or operation (implies a single

arithmetic instruction; col. 3, lines 18-25: MAP elements can receive operands via

chained port; col. 18, line 66 - col. 19, line 3: output data from one MAP element to be

sent directly to the user array of the next MAP element with no processor intervention

via a chain port (source operands))

With Regards to Claim 41, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 18

wherein a destination location and one of the first number, the second number, and the

third number are specified by one operand in the single arithmetic instruction.

(Huppenthal col. 3, lines 1-7: number of MAP elements chained together to accomplish

a single function or operation (implies a single arithmetic instruction; col. 3, lines 18-25:

MAP elements can receive operands via chained port; col. 18, line 66 - col. 19, line 3:

output data from one MAP element to be sent directly to the user array of the next MAP

element with no processor intervention via a chain port (source operands))

With Regards to Claim 42, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 18.

Huppenthal does not specifically disclose a multiplying and summing sequence.

However, Hinds discloses multiplying and adding operations are implemented for binary

polynomial fields. (Hines col. 3, lines 5-17: applying a multiply-accumulate operation to

operands; multiplying operands and adding multiplication results; col. 8, lines col. 8,

lines 6-25: chained multiply-accumulate operation; carry save adders and usage of

partial multiplier (partial results: high order bits); output of results of partial multiplier is

normalized and passed to carry save adders and final product adder)

Motivation for Hinds to disclose arithmetic operations is as stated in Claim 1 above.

With Regards to Claim 43, Huppenthal discloses a processor comprising an arithmetic

circuit, the processor configured to be responsive to execution of a single arithmetic

instruction of a processor instruction set architecture, and wherein the single arithmetic

instruction does not include an explicit source operand for specifying the partial result.

(Huppenthal col. 3, lines 1-7: number of MAP elements chained together to accomplish

a single function or operation (implies a single arithmetic instruction; col. 3, lines 18-25:

MAP elements can receive operands via chained port; col. 18, line 66 - col. 19, line 3:

output data from one MAP element to be sent directly to the user array of the next MAP

element with no processor intervention via a chain port)

Huppenthal does not specifically disclose a multiplying and summing sequence.

However, Hinds discloses to cause the arithmetic circuit to multiply a first number and a

second number and to add implicitly a high order portion of a partial result from an

executed single arithmetic instruction of the processor instruction set architecture,

thereby generating a result that represents the first number multiplied by the second

number summed with the high order portion of the partial result; store at least a portion

of the generated result; and use the stored at least a portion of the generated result in a

subsequent computation.   (Hines col. 3, lines 5-17: applying a multiply-accumulate

operation to operands; multiplying operands and adding multiplication results; col. 8,

lines col. 8, lines 6-25: chained multiply-accumulate operation; carry save adders and

usage of partial multiplier (partial results: high order bits); output of results of partial

multiplier is normalized and passed to carry save adders and final product adder)

Motivation for Hines to disclose arithmetic operations is as stated in Claim 1 above.

With Regards to Claim 44, Huppenthal discloses the processor as recited in claim 43.

Huppenthal does not specifically disclose a multiplying and summing sequence.

However, Hinds discloses wherein to store at least a portion of the generated result, the

processor is further responsive to the single arithmetic instruction to store a high order

portion of the generated result into an extended carry register for use with execution of

a subsequent single arithmetic instruction of a processor instruction set architecture.

(Hines col. 3, lines 5-17: applying a multiply-accumulate operation to operands;

multiplying operands and adding multiplication results; col. 8, lines col. 8, lines 6-25:

chained multiply-accumulate operation; carry save adders and usage of partial multiplier

(partial results: high order bits); output of results of partial multiplier is normalized and

passed to carry save adders and final product adder))

Motivation for Hinds to disclose a chained operation is as stated in Claim 1 above.

With Regards to Claim 49, Huppenthal discloses the processor as recited in claim 43

wherein the first and second numbers are specified in the single arithmetic instruction

as first and second source registers. (Huppenthal col. 3, lines 1-7: number of MAP

elements chained together to accomplish a single function or operation (implies a single

arithmetic instruction; col. 3, lines 18-25: MAP elements can receive operands via

chained port; col. 18, line 66 - col. 19, line 3: output data from one MAP element to be

sent directly to the user array of the next MAP element with no processor intervention

via a chain port)

With Regards to Claim 50, Huppenthal discloses a processor comprising an arithmetic

circuit, the processor configured to be responsive to execution of a single arithmetic

instruction of a processor instruction set architecture, and wherein the single arithmetic

instruction does not include an explicit source operand for specifying the partial result.

(Huppenthal col. 3, lines 1-7: number of MAP elements chained together to accomplish

a single function or operation (implies a single arithmetic instruction; col. 3, lines 18-25:

MAP elements can receive operands via chained port; col. 18, line 66 - col. 19, line 3:

output data from one MAP element to be sent directly to the user array of the next MAP

element with no processor intervention via a chain port)

Huppenthal does not specifically disclose a multiplying and summing sequence.

However, Hinds discloses causing the arithmetic circuit to multiply a first number and a

second number to add a third number and to implicitly add a high order portion of a

result from an executed single arithmetic instruction of the processor's instruction set

architecture, thereby generating a result that represents the first number multiplied with

the second number, summed with the high order portion of the previous result and with

the third number; store at least a portion of the generated result; and use the stored at

least a portion of the generated result in a subsequent computation. (Hines col. 3, lines

5-17: applying a multiply-accumulate operation to operands; multiplying operands and

adding multiplication results; col. 8, lines col. 8, lines 6-25: chained multiply-accumulate

operation; carry save adders and usage of partial multiplier (partial results: high order

bits); output of results of partial multiplier is normalized and passed to carry save adders

and final product adder))

Motivation for Hinds to disclose a chained operation is as stated in Claim 1 above.

With Regards to Claim 51, Huppenthal discloses the processor as recited in claim 50

wherein to store at least a portion of the generated result, the processor is configured to

store a high order portion of the generated result for use with execution of a subsequent

single arithmetic instruction of a processor instruction set architecture. (Huppenthal col.

3, lines 1-7: number of MAP elements chained together to accomplish a single function

or operation (implies a single arithmetic instruction; col. 3, lines 18-25: MAP elements

can receive operands via chained port; col. 18, line 66 - col. 19, line 3: output data from

one MAP element to be sent directly to the user array of the next MAP element with no

processor intervention via a chain port)

With Regards to Claim 56, Huppenthal discloses the processor as recited in claim 50,

wherein the first number is specified in the single arithmetic instruction as a first source

register, the second number is contained in a logically local register and is not specified

in the single arithmetic instruction, the third number is specified as a second source

register in the single arithmetic instruction and a low order portion of the result is stored

in a destination location specified in the single arithmetic instruction. (Huppenthal col. 3,

lines 1-7: number of MAP elements chained together to accomplish a single function or

operation (implies a single arithmetic instruction; col. 3, lines 18-25: MAP elements can

receive operands via chained port; col. 18, line 66 - col. 19, line 3: output data from one

MAP element to be sent directly to the user array of the next MAP element with no

processor intervention via a chain port)

With Regards to Claim 57, Huppenthal discloses a non-transitory computer-readable

storage medium, comprising program instructions executable by a processor: wherein a

single arithmetic instruction of a processor instruction set architecture in the

cryptography application, and wherein the single arithmetic instruction does not include

an explicit source operand for specifying the high order portion of the result of the

previously executed single arithmetic instruction. (Huppenthal col. 3, lines 1-7: number

of MAP elements chained together to accomplish a single function or operation (implies

a single arithmetic instruction; col. 3, lines 18-25: MAP elements can receive operands

via chained port; col. 18, line 66 - col. 19, line 3: output data from one MAP element to

be sent directly to the user array of the next MAP element with no processor

intervention via a chain port)

Huppenthal does not specifically disclose a multiplying and summing sequence.

However, Hinds discloses to cause the processor to multiply a first number by a second

number and to implicitly add a high order portion of a result of a previously executed

single arithmetic instruction to generate a result that represents the first number

multiplied with the second number and summed with the high order portion of an

executed single arithmetic instruction, wherein the single arithmetic instruction further

causes the processor to store a high order portion of the generated result for use with

execution of a subsequent single arithmetic instruction in the cryptography application.

(Hines col. 3, lines 5-17: applying a multiply-accumulate operation to operands;

multiplying operands and adding multiplication results; col. 8, lines col. 8, lines 6-25:

chained multiply-accumulate operation; carry save adders and usage of partial multiplier

(partial results: high order bits); output of results of partial multiplier is normalized and

passed to carry save adders and final product adder)

Motivation for Hinds to disclose a chained operation is as stated in Claim 1 above.

Chen discloses a cryptographic application as stated in Claim 1 above.

With Regards to Claim 58, Huppenthal discloses the non-transitory computer-readable

storage medium as recited in claim 57 wherein the single arithmetic instruction includes

a first source operand and a second source operand, specifying the first number and

the second number, and a destination operand wherein execution of the single

arithmetic instruction further causes the processor to store a low order portion of the

generated result in a location specified by the destination operand. (Huppenthal col. 3,

lines 1-7: number of MAP elements chained together to accomplish a single function or

operation (implies a single arithmetic instruction; col. 3, lines 18-25: MAP elements can

receive operands via chained port; col. 18, line 66 - col. 19, line 3: output data from one

MAP element to be sent directly to the user array of the next MAP element with no

processor intervention via a chain port)

With Regards to Claim 59, Huppenthal discloses the non-transitory computer-readable

storage medium as recited in claim 57.

Huppenthal does not specifically disclose a multiplying and summing sequence.

However, Hinds discloses execution of the subsequent single arithmetic instruction

causes the processor executing the subsequent single arithmetic instruction to multiply

a third number by a fourth number and implicitly add the high order portion of the result.

(Hines col. 3, lines 5-17: applying a multiply-accumulate operation to operands;

multiplying operands and adding multiplication results; col. 8, lines col. 8, lines 6-25:

chained multiply-accumulate operation; carry save adders and usage of partial multiplier

(partial results: high order bits); output of results of partial multiplier is normalized and

passed to carry save adders and final product adder)

Motivation for Hinds to disclose a chained operation is as stated in Claim 1 above.

With Regards to Claim 60, Huppenthal discloses the non-transitory computer-readable

storage medium as recited in claim 59.

Huppenthal does not specifically disclose a multiplying and summing sequence.

However, Hinds discloses wherein another single arithmetic instruction of a processor

instruction set in the cryptography application causes the processor to multiply a fifth

number by a sixth number and to generate another result without implicitly adding

another high order portion of another executed result and to store a high order portion of

the other result for use with another subsequent single arithmetic instruction of a

processor instruction set.   (Hines col. 3, lines 5-17: applying a multiply-accumulate

operation to operands; multiplying operands and adding multiplication results; col. 8,

lines col. 8, lines 6-25: chained multiply-accumulate operation; carry save adders and

usage of partial multiplier (partial results: high order bits); output of results of partial

multiplier is normalized and passed to carry save adders and final product adder)

Motivation for Hinds to disclose a chained operation is as stated in Claim 1 above.

Chen discloses the cryptographic application as stated in Claim 1 above.

With Regards to Claim 61, Huppenthal discloses a non-transitory computer-readable

storage medium, comprising program instructions executable by a processor to

implement a cryptography application: wherein a single arithmetic instruction of a

processor instruction set architecture in the cryptography application, and wherein the

single arithmetic instruction does not include an explicit source operand for specifying

the partial multiplication result. (Huppenthal col. 3, lines 1-7: number of MAP elements

chained together to accomplish a single function or operation (implies a single

arithmetic instruction; col. 3, lines 18-25: MAP elements can receive operands via

chained port; col. 18, line 66 - col. 19, line 3: output data from one MAP element to be

sent directly to the user array of the next MAP element with no processor intervention

via a chain port)

Huppenthal does not specifically disclose a multiplying and summing sequence.

However, Hinds discloses to cause the processor to: multiply a first number by a second

number; add implicitly a partial multiplication result from an executed single arithmetic

instruction of the processor's instruction set architecture and a third number to generate

a result that represents the first number multiplied by the second number summed with

the partial multiplication result and summed with the third number; and store a high

order portion of the generated result for use with execution of a subsequent single

arithmetic instruction of a processor instruction set architecture in the cryptography

application. (Hines col. 3, lines 5-17: applying a multiply-accumulate operation to

operands; multiplying operands and adding multiplication results; col. 8, lines col. 8,

lines 6-25: chained multiply-accumulate operation; carry save adders and usage of

partial multiplier (partial results: high order bits); output of results of partial multiplier is

normalized and passed to carry save adders and final product adder)

Motivation for Hinds to disclose a chained operation is as stated in Claim 1 above.

Chen discloses the cryptographic application as stated in Claim 1 above.

With Regards to Claim 62, Huppenthal discloses the non-transitory computer-readable

storage medium as recited in claim 61.

Huppenthal does not specifically disclose a multiplying and summing sequence.

However, Hinds discloses execution of the subsequent second single arithmetic

instruction causes the processor to multiply a fourth number by the second number to

add a fifth number, and to implicitly add the high order portion of the generated result.

(Hines col. 3, lines 5-17: applying a multiply-accumulate operation to operands;

multiplying operands and adding multiplication results; col. 8, lines col. 8, lines 6-25:

chained multiply-accumulate operation; carry save adders and usage of partial multiplier

(partial results: high order bits); output of results of partial multiplier is normalized and

passed to carry save adders and final product adder)

Motivation for Hinds to disclose a chained operation is as stated in Claim 1 above.

With Regards to Claim 63, Huppenthal discloses the non-transitory computer-readable

storage medium as recited in claim 61.

Huppenthal does not specifically disclose a multiplying and summing sequence.

However, Hines discloses execution of the subsequent single arithmetic instruction

causes the processor to multiply a fourth number by a fifth number, to add a sixth

number, and to implicitly add the high order portion of the result. (Hines col. 3, lines 5-

17: applying a multiply-accumulate operation to operands; multiplying operands and

adding multiplication results; col. 8, lines col. 8, lines 6-25: chained multiply-accumulate

operation; carry save adders and usage of partial multiplier (partial results: high order

bits); output of results of partial multiplier is normalized and passed to carry save adders

and final product adder)

Motivation for Hinds to disclose a chained operation is as stated in Claim 1 above.

With Regards to Claim 64, Huppenthal discloses a processor supporting a

cryptography application comprising: means, responsive to a single multiply-accumulate

instruction of a processor instruction set architecture in the cryptography application,

and wherein the single arithmetic instruction does not include an explicit source operand

for specifying the partial result. (Huppenthal col. 3, lines 1-7: number of MAP elements

chained together to accomplish a single function or operation (implies a single

arithmetic instruction; col. 3, lines 18-25: MAP elements can receive operands via

chained port; col. 18, line 66 - col. 19, line 3: output data from one MAP element to be

sent directly to the user array of the next MAP element with no processor intervention

via a chain port)

Huppenthal does not specifically disclose a multiplying and summing sequence.

However, Hinds discloses multiplying a first number with a second number and implicitly

adding a partial result of an executed single multiply-accumulate instruction of a

processor instruction set to generate a result that represents the first number multiplied

by the second number summed with the partial result; and means for storing a high

order portion of the result for use with execution of a subsequent single multiply-

accumulate instruction of a processor instruction set architecture in the cryptography

application.   (Hines col. 3, lines 5-17: applying a multiply-accumulate operation to

operands; multiplying operands and adding multiplication results; col. 8, lines col. 8,

lines 6-25: chained multiply-accumulate operation; carry save adders and usage of

partial multiplier (partial results: high order bits); output of results of partial multiplier is

normalized and passed to carry save adders and final product adder)

Motivation for Hinds to disclose a chained operation is as stated in Claim 1 above.

With Regards to Claim 65, Huppenthal discloses a processor supporting a

cryptography application, comprising: means, responsive to a single multiply-

accumulate instruction of a processor instruction set architecture in a cryptography

application, and wherein the single arithmetic instruction does not include an explicit

source operand for specifying the partial result.   (Huppenthal col. 3, lines 1-7: number

of MAP elements chained together to accomplish a single function or operation (implies

a single arithmetic instruction; col. 3, lines 18-25: MAP elements can receive operands

via chained port; col. 18, line 66 - col. 19, line 3: output data from one MAP element to

be sent directly to the user array of the next MAP element with no processor

intervention via a chain port)

Huppenthal does not specifically disclose a multiplying and summing sequence.

However, Hinds discloses multiplying a first number with a second number, for implicitly

adding a partial result of an executed single multiply-accumulate instruction, and for

adding a third number to generate a result that represents the first number multiplied by

the second number summed with the partial result and the third number; and means for

storing a high order portion of the generated result for use with execution of a

subsequent multiply-accumulate instruction of a processor instruction set architecture in

the cryptography application. (Hines col. 3, lines 5-17: applying a multiply-accumulate

operation to operands; multiplying operands and adding multiplication results; col. 8,

lines col. 8, lines 6-25: chained multiply-accumulate operation; carry save adders and

usage of partial multiplier (partial results: high order bits); output of results of partial

multiplier is normalized and passed to carry save adders and final product adder)

Motivation for Hinds to disclose a chained operation is as stated in Claim 1 above.

8.   Claims **3, 20, 45, 52** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over **Huppenthal-Hinds-Chen** and further in view of **Lasher et al.** (US Patent No.

4,863,247).

With Regards to Claim 3, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 1.

Hinds discloses the partial result as stated in Claim 1 above.

Huppenthal-Hinds does not specifically disclose redundant number representation.

However, Lasher discloses wherein the result is in redundant number representation.

(see Lasher col. 6, lines 6-9; col. 6, lines 16-18: redundant number representations)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Chen for a

result in redundant number representation as taught by Lasher. One of ordinary skill in

the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Lasher that fully parallel

carry-free operation is provided for with reduced complexity.   (see Lasher col. 2, lines

57-62)

With Regards to Claim 20, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 18,

Hinds discloses the partial result as stated in Claim 1 above.

Huppenthal-Hinds does not specifically disclose redundant number representation.

However, Lasher discloses wherein the result is in redundant number representation.

(see Lasher col. 6, lines 6-9; col. 6, lines 16-18: redundant number representations)

Motivation for Lasher to disclose redundant number representation is as stated in Claim

3 above.

With Regards to Claim 45, Huppenthal discloses the processor as recited in claim 43.

However, Hinds discloses the previously executed single arithmetic instruction and the

high order portion of the executed single arithmetic instruction is stored as stated in

Claim 1 above.

Huppenthal-Hinds do not specifically disclose redundant number representation.

However, Lasher discloses wherein the portion is stored in a redundant number

representation. (see Lasher col. 6, lines 6-9; col. 6, lines 16-18: redundant number

representations)

Motivation for Lasher to disclose redundant number representation is as stated in Claim

3 above.

With Regards to Claim 52, Huppenthal discloses the processor as recited in claim 50.

Hinds discloses wherein the high order portion of the previous result as stated in Claim1

above.

Huppenthal does not specifically disclose a multiplying and summing sequence.

However, Lasher discloses wherein the portion is stored in redundant number

representation. (see Lasher col. 6, lines 6-9; col. 6, lines 16-18: redundant number

representations)

Motivation for Lasher to disclose redundant number representation is as stated in Claim

3 above.

9.   Claims **6 - 12, 24 - 29, 31, 32, 48, 53, 54, 55** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

as being unpatentable over **Huppenthal-Hinds-Chen** and further in view of **Stribaek et**

al. (US Patent No. **7,181,484**).

With Regards to Claim 6, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 5.

Hinds discloses wherein said storing the high order portion of the generated result

comprises storing the high order portion of the generated result into a register for use

with execution of the subsequent single arithmetic instruction as stated in Claim 1 above

Huppenthal-Hines does not specifically disclose an extended carry register.

However, Stribaek discloses wherein an extended carry register. (see Stribaek col. 5,

lines 41-45: load value extended carry operations)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Chen as

taught by Stribaek for usage of an extended carry register. One of ordinary skill in the

art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Stribaek to enable the

capability for extended precision in arithmetic calculations due to extensive and

increasing usage of public key cryptography.   (see Stribaek col. 1, lines 61-67)

With Regards to Claim 7, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 6.

Hinds discloses retrieving an indication of a current value of the register by executing

another single arithmetic instruction set architecture that multiplies a third number by a

fourth number and that implicitly adds current contents of the extended carry register to

generate a second result that represents the third number multiplied by the fourth

number summed with the current contents of the register as stated in Claim 1 above.

Huppenthal-Hinds do not specifically disclose an extended carry register.

However, Stribaek discloses wherein an extended carry register. (see Stribaek col. 5,

lines 41-45: load value extended carry operations)

Motivation for Stribaek to disclose extended carry register is as stated in Claim 6 above.

With Regards to Claim 8, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 7.

Hinds discloses a low order portion of the second result contains the indication of the

current value of the register as stated in Claim 1 above.

Huppenthal-Hinds does not specifically disclose an extended carry register.

However, Stribaek discloses wherein an extended carry register. (see Stribaek col. 5,

lines 41-45: load value extended carry operations)

Motivation for Stribaek to disclose extended carry register is as stated in Claim 6 above.

With Regards to Claim 9, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 7,

wherein the third and fourth numbers are zero. (Huppenthal col. 3, lines 1-7: number of

MAP elements chained together to accomplish a single function or operation (implies a

single arithmetic instruction; col. 3, lines 18-25: MAP elements can receive operands via

chained port; col. 18, line 66 - col. 19, line 3: output data from one MAP element to be

sent directly to the user array of the next MAP element with no processor intervention

via a chain port; operands can be any values)

With Regards to Claim 10, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 6.

Hinds discloses loading the register with a predetermined value by executing another

single arithmetic instruction of the processor instruction set architecture that multiplies a

third number by a fourth number and that implicitly adds a current value of the extended

carry register, to generate a result that represents the third number multiplied by the

fourth number summed with the current value of the register, thereby loading the

register with the predetermined value as stated in Claim 1 above.

Huppenthal-Hinds does not specifically disclose an extended carry register. However,

Stribaek discloses wherein an extended carry register. (see Stribaek col. 5, lines 41-45:

load value extended carry operations)

Motivation for Stribaek to disclose extended carry register is as stated in Claim 6 above.

With Regards to Claim 11, Stribaek discloses the method as recited in claim 6.

Huppenthal-Hinds does not specifically disclose an extended carry register.

However, Stribaek discloses further comprising selecting one of a plurality of extended

carry registers as the extended carry register. (see Stribaek col. 5, lines 41-45:

extended carry operations)

Motivation for Stribaek to disclose extended carry register is as stated in Claim 6 above.

With Regards to Claim 12, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 6,

Hinds discloses accessing the register via at least one of a load instruction and a store

instruction as stated in Claim 1 above.

Huppenthal-Hinds does not specifically disclose an extended carry register.

However, Stribaek discloses wherein an extended carry register. (see Stribaek col. 5,

lines 41-45: extended carry operations)

Motivation for Stribaek to disclose extended carry register is as stated in Claim 6 above.

With Regards to Claim 24, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 23.

Hinds discloses storing the high order portion of the generated result comprises storing

the high order portion of the generated result into a register for use with execution of the

subsequent arithmetic instruction as stated in Claim1 above.

Huppenthal-Hinds does not specifically disclose an extended carry register.

However, Stribaek discloses wherein an extended carry register. (see Stribaek col. 5,

lines 41-45: extended carry operations)

Motivation for Stribaek to disclose extended carry register is as stated in Claim 6 above.

With Regards to Claim 25, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 24.

Hinds discloses retrieving an indication of a current value of the register by executing

another single arithmetic instruction of the processor instruction set architecture that

multiplies a fourth number by a fifth number, that implicitly adds current contents of the

register, and that adds a sixth number to generate a second result that represents the

fourth number multiplied by the fifth number summed with the current contents of the

register and the sixth number as stated in Claim 1 above.

Huppenthal-Hines does not specifically disclose an extended carry register.

However, Stribaek discloses wherein an extended carry register. (see Stribaek col. 5,

lines 41-45: extended carry operations)

Motivation for Stribaek to disclose extended carry register is as stated in Claim 6 above.

With Regards to Claim 26, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 25.

Hinds discloses a low order portion of the second result contains the indication of the

current value of the register as stated in Claim1 above.

Huppenthal-Hines does not specifically disclose an extended carry register.

However, Stribaek discloses wherein an extended carry register. (see Stribaek col. 5,

lines 41-45: extended carry operations)

Motivation for Stribaek to disclose extended carry register is as stated in Claim 6 above.

With Regards to Claim 27, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 24.

Hinds discloses loading the register with a predetermined value by executing another

single arithmetic instruction of the processor instruction set architecture that multiplies a

fourth number by a fifth number; and implicitly adds a current value of the register and

adds a sixth number, to generate a result that represents the third number multiplied by

the fourth number summed with the current value of the register and summed with the

sixth number and to store it in the register, thereby loading the register with the

predetermined value as stated in Claim 1 above.

Huppenthal-Hinds does not specifically disclose an extended carry register. However,

Stribaek discloses wherein an extended carry register.   (see Stribaek col. 5, lines 41-

45: extended carry operations)

Motivation for Stribaek to disclose extended carry register is as stated in Claim 6 above.

With Regards to Claim 28, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 24.

Huppenthal-Hinds does not specifically disclose an extended carry register. However,

Stribaek discloses further comprising selecting one of a plurality of extended carry

registers as the extended carry register. (see Stribaek col. 5, lines 41-45: extended

carry operations)

Motivation for Stribaek to disclose extended carry register is as stated in Claim 6 above.

With Regards to Claim 29, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 24.

Huppenthal-Hinds does not specifically disclose an extended carry register. However,

Stribaek discloses further comprising accessing the extended carry register via at least

one of a load instruction and a store instruction. (see Stribaek col. 5, lines 41-45:

extended carry operations)

Motivation for Stribaek to disclose extended carry register is as stated in Claim 6 above.

With Regards to Claim 31, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 30.

Hinds discloses accessing a register storing the second number via at least one of a

load instruction and a store instruction as stated in Claim 1 above.

Stribaek discloses wherein a special register as stated in Claim 6 above.

With Regards to Claim 32, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 18.

Hinds discloses accessing a special register storing the second number via at least one

of a load instruction and a store instruction as stated in Claim 1 above.

Stribaek discloses a special register as stated in Claim 6 above.

With Regards to Claim 48, Huppenthal discloses the processor as recited in claim 43.

Huppenthal-Hinds does not specifically disclose an extended carry register. However,

Stribaek discloses wherein the extended carry register is a special register. (see

Stribaek col. 5, lines 41-45: extended carry register)

Motivation for Stribaek to disclose extended carry register is as stated in Claim 6 above.

With Regards to Claim 53, Huppenthal discloses the processor as recited in claim 50.

Hinds discloses the processor is configured to store the high order portion of the

generated result into a register as stated in Claim 1 above.

Stribaek discloses wherein an extended carry register as stated in Claim 6 above.

With Regards to Claim 54, Huppenthal discloses the processor as recited in claim 50.

Hinds discloses the register is a special register accessible by the processor via at least

one of load instruction and store instructions as stated in Claim 1 above.

Stribaek discloses extended carry register as stated in Claim 6 above.

With Regards to Claim 55, Huppenthal discloses the processor as recited in claim 50.

Hinds discloses wherein the register has an associated dirty bit indicating whether

contents of the register need to be saved on a context switch as stated in Claim 1

above.

Stribaek discloses an extended carry register as stated Claim 6 above.

10.   Claim **17** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Huppenthal-Hinds-Chen and further in view of **Chen et al.** (US Patent No. **6,687,725**:

referred to as "Chen2").

With Regards to Claim 17, Huppenthal discloses the method as recited in claim 1.

Hinds discloses multiplying and adding are implemented in Claim 1 above.

Huppenthal-Hinds does not specifically disclose XOR operations.

However, Chen2 discloses wherein to support XOR operations for binary polynomial

fields. (see Chen2 col. 4, line 64 - col. 5, line 2; col. 15, lines 29-31: XOR operations)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Chen to

support XOR operations as taught by Chen2.   One of ordinary skill in the art would

have been motivated to employ the teachings of Chen2 to provide an arithmetic circuit

which can perform all arithmetic operations in the finite field, including addition,

multiplication, division, exponentiation and inverse multiplication.   (see Chen2 col. 3,

lines 17-21)

11.   Claims **46, 47** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Huppenthal-Hinds-Chen-Lasher and further in view of **Stribaek**.

With Regards to Claim 46, Huppenthal discloses the processor as recited in claim 45.

Stribaek discloses an extended carry register as stated in Claim 6 above.

With Regards to Claim 47, Huppenthal discloses the processor as recited in claim 45.

Stribaek discloses wherein an extended carry register as stated in Claim 6 above.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlton V. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-270-1032. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday , 8:00 - 5:00PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser Moazzami can be reached on 571-272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Carlton V. Johnson
Examiner
Art Unit 2436

CVJ
December 20, 2010

/Nasser Moazzami/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2436